

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004

				1
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,682	11/09/2001	Michel Schmidt	11954-1920	9711
759	90 10/21/2004		EXAM	INER
George M. Thomas			PARSLEY, DAVID J	
THOMAS, KAY	YDEN, HORSTEMEYE	ER & RISLEY, L.L.P.		
Suite 1500	,	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 Galleria Parkway, N.W.			3643	
Atlanta, GA 30	0339-5948			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/044,682	SCHMIDT ET AL.	
, and y nous.	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David J Parsley	3643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approperture of the fee. The appropriate or the final o	on. See MPEP  opriate extension ropriate extension Office action: or
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>2-4,7,8,10,11</u> filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim		submitted in a sepa	rate, timely
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>10,11 and 21-23</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: 2-4,7 and 8.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5,6,12-20 and 24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449)	·	
10. Other:		ER M. POON Y PATENT EXAMIN	ÆR
	10/8	,	
	10/40	, ~ /	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the addition of the limitation "...an upwardly extending central shaft" in claim 12 and the addition of the limitations "...rotating the bird holders...about an upwardly extending axis..." and "...equalizing the rotational orientation of the bird holders about the upwardly extending axis..." all raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration.